

## OTT School for Think Tankers 2022 Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning Selected References (**key references**)

### MEL basics

1. **Basic monitoring and evaluation concepts** <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IR8FalapJfo>
2. Difference between Monitoring and evaluation [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=\\_V4XZph2H10](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_V4XZph2H10)

### MEL for results based management or adaptive management

3. Honig, D. 2020. *Actually Navigating by Judgment: Towards a New Paradigm of Donor Accountability Where the Current System Doesn't Work*. CGD Policy Paper 169. Washington, DC: Center for Global Development. <https://www.cgdev.org/sites/default/files/actually-navigating-by-judgement-paper.pdf>

### Tools for designing complexity aware MEL systems

4. Better Evaluation. (2013) Outcome Mapping. Retrieved from [http://betterevaluation.org/en/plan/approach/outcome\\_mapping](http://betterevaluation.org/en/plan/approach/outcome_mapping)
5. Better Evaluation. (2014a) Theory of Change. Retrieved from [http://betterevaluation.org/en/resources/guide/theory\\_of\\_change](http://betterevaluation.org/en/resources/guide/theory_of_change)

### MEL for management

6. Cassidy, C. and Ball, L., 2018. *Communications monitoring, evaluating and learning toolkit*. [online] London: Overseas Development Institute. Available at: <<https://www.odi.org/publications/11040-communications-monitoring-evaluation-and-learning-toolkit>>
7. IDRC (2018) Research Quality Plus. Retrieved from <https://www.idrc.ca/en/research-in-action/research-quality-plus>
8. **Lomofsky, D. (ed), 2016. MEL for think tanks.** [online] On Think Tanks. Available at: <<https://onthinktanks.org/series/mel-for-think-tanks/>>.
9. Lucas, S. (2017) 6 ways think tanks can overcome angst about impact. *Hewlett Foundation*. Retrieved from: <https://www.hewlett.org/6-ways-think-tanks-can-overcome-angst-about-impact/>
10. Mendizabal, E. (2014) Monitoring and Evaluation for Management. On Think Tanks. January 13, 2014. Retrieved from <https://onthinktanks.org/articles/monitoring-and-evaluation-for-management-2/>
11. Niven, P. (2003) *Balanced scorecard step-by-step for government and non-profit agencies*. John Wiley, 2003
12. **Struyk, R. (2007). Managing Think Tanks: Practical Guidance for Maturing Organizations.** Open Society Institute Budapest and The Urban Institute. Revised and expanded edition 2007 available at [http://www.resultsfordevelopment.org/sites/resultsfordevelopment.org/files/Managing%20Think%20Tanks%20\(Second%20edition\)\\_o.pdf](http://www.resultsfordevelopment.org/sites/resultsfordevelopment.org/files/Managing%20Think%20Tanks%20(Second%20edition)_o.pdf) The first (2002) version is also available at [http://www.urban.org/uploadedpdf/410454\\_managing\\_think\\_tanks\\_FULLL.pdf](http://www.urban.org/uploadedpdf/410454_managing_think_tanks_FULLL.pdf)

13. **Struyk, R. (2015) Improving Think Tank Management – Practical Guidance for Think Tank Directors, Managers and Donors.** Washington: Results for Development. Available in print or as an e-book at <http://www.r4d.org/improving-think-tank-management> or from Amazon at [https://www.amazon.co.uk/dp/B012ELLOVA/ref=rdr\\_kindle\\_ext\\_tmb](https://www.amazon.co.uk/dp/B012ELLOVA/ref=rdr_kindle_ext_tmb)

## **MEL for policy influence**

14. Carden, F. (2009) *Knowledge to Policy: Making the Most of Development Research*. Sage and IDRC. Available at <https://www.idrc.ca/en/book/knowledge-policy-making-most-development-research>
15. Pasanen, T. and Shaxson, L., 2014. *How to design a monitoring and evaluation framework for a policy research project*. ODI Working Paper. [Working Paper] London: Overseas Development Institute. Available at: <<https://www.odi.org/sites/odi.org.uk/files/odi-assets/publications-opinion-files/10259.pdf>>.
16. Stachowiak, S., 2013. *Pathways for Change: 10 Theories to Inform Advocacy and Policy Change Efforts*. [online] Washington DC: Centre for Evaluation Innovation. Available at: <<https://www.evaluationinnovation.org/publication/pathways-for-change-10-theories-to-inform-advocacy-and-policy-change-efforts/>>.

## **Some approaches useful for evaluating policy influence**

17. Befani B., D'Errico S., Booker F. and Giuliani A., 2016. Clearing the Fog: New Tools for Improving the Credibility of Impact Claims. IIED Briefing. London: IIED, Available from <http://pubs.iied.org/17359IIED.html>
18. Better Evaluation. (2014b). RAPID Outcome Assessment. Retrieved from [http://betterevaluation.org/en/evaluation-options/rapid\\_outcome\\_assessment](http://betterevaluation.org/en/evaluation-options/rapid_outcome_assessment)
19. Community Matters, 2014. *Brief Introduction to Realist Evaluation*. [online] BetterEvaluation. Available at: <<https://www.betterevaluation.org/en/resources/website/brief-introduction-to-realist-evaluation>>
20. Mayne, J., 2006. Exploring Attribution Through Contribution Analysis. *The Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation*. [online] Available at: <[www.cgiar-ilac.org/content/exploring-attribution-through-contribution-analysis](http://www.cgiar-ilac.org/content/exploring-attribution-through-contribution-analysis)>.
21. Wilson-Grau, R. (2015) Outcome Harvesting. BetterEvaluation. Retrieved from [http://betterevaluation.org/plan/approach/outcome\\_harvesting](http://betterevaluation.org/plan/approach/outcome_harvesting)